

**From:** Curt Pringle  
**Sent:** Friday, July 10, 2009 11:25 PM  
**To:** COTCE Commission; COTCE Staff  
**Cc:** Arianna Van Meurs  
**Subject:** RE: Delivery of Blue Plan

Fred-

It is quite interesting that you and some others feel that the purposes of the commission should be ignored and that some private plan should have the same standing that a proposal discussed, reviewed and contemplated by the entire commission has.

It challenges me as to why I devoted time to participate in this process if you choose to craft a plan in private and today, share with us the news that sometime in the future you wish to share a "competing plan" with the commission.

Why shouldn't every commissioner gather their respective philosophical mates and assemble and submit competing plans in the weeks and even months ahead?

I am disappointed that you chose not to bring these ideas forward at the time of a commission meeting.

I am disappointed that you did not share with all of us your concerns and your ideas when we last met.

You characterize our collective work as the "Chair's plan" when you sat with all of us and offered your input on the two proposals that are now being analyzed and are to be before us for consideration at our next meeting.

Yet today you seek our collective indulgence with a separate plan you wish to present to many of us for the first time at our meeting on the 16th, which was initially intended to be our last meeting.

Fred, I don't think this is appropriate. I don't think it is proper within the context of our service on this commission. And I don't think it is respectful to your fellow commissioners who sat next to you through these last six month discussing these issues in hopes of building a consensus.

I hope that your eleventh hour presentation doesn't scuttle our entire effort to build a proposal that could be accepted by all.

Curt